

PUBLIC SAFETY

PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	007-0	8		THREE	MONTHS EI	NDE	D 09-30-08		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING	06-30-09	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±¢	CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)											1				
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2,915.70 339,970	2,552.60 312,970	ı	363.10 27,000	12 8	2,978.70 51,527	2,708.70 50,843	 - -	270.00 684	9 1	2,978.70 297,009	2,976.70 307,211	 - +	2.00 10,202	0 3
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2,915.70 339,970	2,552.60 312,970		363.10 27,000	12 8	2,978.70 51,527	2,708.70 50,843	- -	270.00 684	9 1	2,978.70 297,009	2,976.70 307,211	- +	2.00 10,202	0 3
		,				FIS	CAL YEAR	2007	7-08			FISCAL YEAR	2008	3-09	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> (CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CI	HANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. ARRESTS MADE BY DEPT'L LAW EN 2. NO. OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY HRS 3. NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RETU	710-1020					94 0 375	2917 0 284	 + +	2823 0 91	3003 0 24	101 0 375	3240 0 375	 + + +	3139 0 0	3108 0 0

PROGRAM TITLE: PUBLIC SAFETY

09

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

The variance in the Public Safety Program is primarily due to employee turnover, recruitment difficulties and collective bargaining augmentation.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

See lowest level programs for an explanation of variances.

PROGRAM TITLE: SAFETY FROM CRIMINAL ACTIONS

PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 0901

E REPORT REPORT V61 12/13/08

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	2007-0	8		THREE	MONTHS E	NDE	D 09-30-08		NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING 06-30-09)
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)	- ""			-										
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2,711.20 243,837	2,379.10 239,890	i .	332.10 3,947	12 2	2,758.20 47,826	2,526.20 47,357	 - -	232.00 469	8 1	2,758.20 200,146	2,756.20 210,252	- 2.00 + 10,106	0 5
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2,711.20 243,837	2,379.10 239,890		332.10 3,947	12 2	2,758.20 47,826	2,526.20 47,357	- -	232.00 469	8 1	2,758.20 200,146	2,756.20 210,252	- 2.00 + 10,106	0 5
						FIS	CAL YEAR	200	7-08			FISCAL YEAR	2008-09	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u> + (</u>	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	1 %
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. ARRESTS MADE BY DEPT'L LAW EN 2. NO. OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY HRS 3. NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RETU	710-1020				; ; 	94 0 375	0	 + + -	2823 0 91	3003 0 24	101 0 375	3240 0 375	+ 3139 + 0 + 0	 3108 0 0

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

STATE OF HAWAII PROGRAM TITLE:

CONFINEMENT AND REINTEGRATION

PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090101

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	007-08	3		THREE	MONTHS EI	NDE	D 09-30-08		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING	06-30-09	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CI	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	. <u>±</u>	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±	CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															-
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2,063.10 197,640	1,803.10 198,098	i .	260.00 458	13 0	2,103.10 38,629	1,931.10 38,629	- +	172.00 0	8 0	2,103.10 163,997	2,103.10 172,044	++	0.00 8,047	0 5
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2,063.10 197,640	1,803.10 198,098	l	260.00 458	13 0	2,103.10 38,629	1,931.10 38,629	-+	172.00 0	8	2,103.10 163,997	2,103.10 172,044	+	0.00 8,047	0 5
						FIS	CAL YEAR	200	7-08			FISCAL YEAR	200	8-09	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	± (CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. OF ESCAPES AS DEFINED BY HRS						0	0		 0	0	0	0	 +	0	0
2. PERCENT OF INMATES COMPLETING A						85	84	ļ -	1	1	85	85	+	0	0
3. PERCENT OF INMATES COMPLETING V						85	84	-	1	1	85	84	-	1	1
4. % OF INMATES COMPLETING COUNSEL 5. % INMATES EMPLOYED BY CORRECTN						13	9 4	- -	4	31 20	13	13 4	+ -	1	0 20
NO. OF INMATES W/SANC MISCNDT IN I						1761	2137	- +	376	21	l 1770	:	-	20	1 20
7. % OF INMATES WHO TEST POSITIVE OF						5	5		0,01	0	5	5	+	0	Ö

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

VARIANCE REPORT

STATE OF HAWAII

PROGRAM TITLE:

HALAWA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010102

PSD-402

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	007-08			THREE!	MONTHS EN	NDEC	09-30-08		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING	06-30-09	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CH/	ANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)							7					·			
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	401.00 21,981	379.00 22,743		22.00 762	5 3	401.00 5,468	381.00 5,468	- +	20.00	5 0	401.00 16,498	401.00 18,095	+	0.00 1,597	0 10
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	401.00 21,981	379.00 22,743		22.00 762	5 3	401.00 5,468	381.00 5,468	- +	20.00 0	5 0	401.00 16,498	401.00 18,095	+	0.00 1,597	0 10
						FIS	CAL YEAR	2007	-08			FISCAL YEAR	2008	-09	,
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CH	IANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. EXEC PGM STRUCTURE CHANGES NOT	APPROVED B	Y LEG.				NO DATA	NO DATA	 +	1 0	0	NO DATA	NO DATA	+	0	0

PROGRAM TITLE: HALAWA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

09 01 01 02 PSD 402

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2008:

No significant variance.

FY 2009:

The expenditure variance is due to collective bargaining augmentation.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no measures of effectiveness are available for this program. Data for measures of effectiveness is available upon request.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no program target groups are available for this program. Data for program target groups is available upon request.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

KULANI CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010103

PSD-403

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	007-08		THREE	MONTHS EI	NDED	09-30-08		NINE	MONTHS EN	OING 06-30-09	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHAN	SE %	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	. <u>+</u> 0	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)	-												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	77.00 4,881	66.00 4,541		00 14 40 7	77.00 1,086	69.00 1,086	 - +	8.00	10 0	77.00 3,812	77.00 4,122	+ 0.00 + 310	0 8
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	77.00 4,881	66.00 4,541		00 14 40 7	77.00 1,086	69.00 1,086	-+	8.00 0	10 0	77.00 3,812	77.00 4,122	+ 0.00 + 310	0
					FIS	CAL YEAR	2007-	-08			FISCAL YEAR	2008-09	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CI	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. EXEC PGM STRUCTURE CHANGES NOT	APPROVED B	/ LEG.			NO DATA	NO DATA	 +	0	0	NO DATA	NO DATA	+ 0	 0

PROGRAM TITLE: KULANI CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

09 01 01 03 PSD 403

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2008:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

FY 2009:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no measures of effectiveness are available for this program. Data for measures of effectiveness is available upon request.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no program target groups are available for this program. Data for program target groups is available upon request.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

WAIAWA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-404 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010104

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	007-08		THREE	MONTHS EI	NDED 09-30-08	}	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-09	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	113.00 5,580	107.00 5,485			113.00 1,363	108.00 1,363	- 5.00 + 0	4 0	113.00 4,283	113.00 4,688	+ 0.00 + 405	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	113.00 5,580	107.00 5,485			113.00 1,363	108.00 1,363	- 5.00 + 0	4 0	113.00 4,283	113.00 4,688	+ 0.00 + 405	0
				•	FIS	CAL YEAR ACTUAL	2007-08 <u>+</u> CHANGE	%		FISCAL YEAR ESTIMATED		%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. EXEC PGM STRUCTURE CHANGES NOT	FAPPROVED BY	/ LEG			NO DATA	NO DATA	 	l 0	I NO DATA	NO DATA	+ 0	l (

-542-

PROGRAM TITLE: WAIAWA CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

09 01 01 04 PSD 404

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2008:

No significant variance.

FY 2009:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no measures of effectiveness are available for this program. Data for measures of effectiveness is available upon request.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no program target groups are available for this program. Data for program target groups is available upon request.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

HAWAII COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-405 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010105

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	007-0	8		THREE	MONTHS E	NDED	09-30-08		NINE	MONTHS END	DING	06-30-09	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> (CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)	-						.,"							-	
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	161.00 7,201	147.00 8,386	- +	14.00 1,185	9 16	173.00 2,105	163.00 2,105	- +	10.00	6 0	173.00 5,472	173.00 6,155	+	0.00 683	0 12
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	161.00 7,201	147.00 8,386		14.00 1,185	9 16	173.00 2,105	163.00 2,105	-+	10.00 0	6 0	173.00 5,472	173.00 6,155	+	0.00 683	0 12
				•		. FIS	CAL YEAR	2007-	-08			FISCAL YEAR	2008	-09	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CI	HANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CH	ANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. EXEC PGM STRUCTURE CHANGES NOT	APPROVED BY	LEG.				NO DATA	NO DATA	 +	0	0	NO DATA	NO DATA	+	0	0

PROGRAM TITLE: HAWAII COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

09 01 01 05 PSD 405

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2008:

The expenditure variance is due to collective bargaining augmentation and increased cost due to workers compensation payment and contract services.

FY 2009:

The expenditure variance is due to collective bargaining augmentation.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no measures of effectiveness are available for this program. Data for measures of effectiveness is available upon request.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no program target groups are available for this program. Data for program target groups is available upon request.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

PROGRAM TITLE: MAUI COMMUNITY

MAUI COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

PROGRAM-ID: PSD-406
PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010106

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	007-08		THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-0	3	NINE	MONTHS END	DING 06-30-09	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)								-				7
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	187.00 9,500	122.00 8,489	- 65.00 - 1,011	1	187.00 2,121	149,00 2,121	- 38.00 + 0	20 0	187.00 7,079	187.00 7,827	+ 0.00 + 748	0 11
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	187.00 9,500	122.00 8,489	- 65.00 - 1,011	1	187.00 2,121	149.00 2,121	- 38.00 + 0	20 0	187.00 7,079	187.00 7,827	+ 0.00 + 748	0 11
					FIS	CAL YEAR	2007-08			FISCAL YEAR	2008-09	···
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	1 %	PLANNED	ESTIMATED!	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. EXEC PGM STRUCTURE CHANGES NOT	APPROVED BY	LEG.			 NO DATA	NO DATA	 + 0	[0	NO DATA	NO DATA	+ 0	 0

PROGRAM TITLE: MAUI COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

09 01 01 06 PSD 406

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2008:

The position variance is due to recruitment difficulties. The expenditure variance is due to less than anticipated expenditures associated with the Maui County Grant.

FY 2009:

The position variance is due to recruitment difficulties.

The expenditure variance is due to collective bargaining augmentation.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no measures of effectiveness are available for this program. Data for measures of effectiveness is available upon request.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no program target groups are available for this program. Data for program target groups is available upon request.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61 12/13/08

PROGRAM TITLE:

OAHU COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-407

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010107

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	007-08	3		THREE N	MONTHS EN	IDED 09-30-0	3	NINE	MONTHS END	DING 06-30-09	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CI	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)							-						
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	494.00 26,858	462.00 27,176	-	32.00 318	6 1	494.00 6,354	470.00 6,354	- 24.00 + 0	5 0	494.00 20,412	494.00 22,311	+ 0.00 + 1,899	0 9
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	494.00 26,858	462.00 27,176		32.00 318	6 1	494.00 6,354	470.00 6,354	- 24.00 + 0	5 0	494.00 20,412	494.00 22,311	+ 0.00 + 1,899	0
						FIS	CAL YEAR	2007-08			FISCAL YEAR	2008-09	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. EXEC PGM STRUCTURE CHANGES NOT	APPROVED BY	Y LEG.				NO DATA 1	NO DATA	+ 0	[0	NO DATA	NO DATA	+ 0	0

PROGRAM TITLE: OAHU COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

09 01 01 07 PSD 407

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2008:

No significant variance.

FY 2009:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no measures of effectiveness are available for this program. Data for measures of effectiveness is available upon request.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no program target groups are available for this program. Data for program target groups is available upon request.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61 12/13/08

PROGRAM TITLE:

KAUAI COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-408

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010108

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	007-08		THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-08	3	NINE	MONTHS END	ING 06-30-09	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)				·								
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	68.00 3,413	59.00 3,502			68.00 819	60.00 819	- 8.00 + 0	12 0	68.00 2,620	68.00 2,872	+ 0.00 + 252	0 10
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	68.00 3,413	59.00 3,502			68.00 819	60.00 819	- 8.00 + 0	12 0	68.00 2, <u>6</u> 20	68.00 2,872	+ 0.00 + 252	0 10
					jFIS	CAL YEAR	2007-08			FISCAL YEAR	2008-09	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. EXEC PGM STRUCTURE CHANGES NOT	APPROVED BY	/ LEG.			NO DATA I	NO DATA	+ 0	 0	NO DATA	NO DATA	+ 0	0

PROGRAM TITLE: KAUAI COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

09 01 01 08 PSD 408

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2008:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

FY 2009:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

The expenditure variance is due to collective bargaining augmentation.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no measures of effectiveness are available for this program. Data for measures of effectiveness is available upon request.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no program target groups are available for this program. Data for program target groups is available upon request.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

STATE OF HAWAII

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61 12/13/08

PROGRAM TITLE:

WOMEN'S COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-409

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010109

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	007-08		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-08	3	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-09	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)										·		
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	132.00 6,422	111.00 6,054	- 21.00 - 368	16 6	132.00 1,485	114.00 1,485	- 18.00 + 0	14 0	132.00 4,904	132.00 5,412	+ 0.00 + 508	0 10
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	132.00 6,422	111.00 6,054	- 21.00 - 368	16 6	132.00 1,485	114.00 1,485	- 18.00 + 0	14 0	132.00 4,904	132.00 5,412	+ 0.00 + 508	0 10
		_			FIS	CAL YEAR	2007-08			FISCAL YEAR	2008-09	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. EXEC PGM STRUCTURE CHANGES NOT	APPROVED BY	LEG.			 NO DATA	NO DATA	 + 0		NO DATA	NO DATA	+ 0	 0

PROGRAM TITLE: WOMEN'S COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL CENTER

09 01 01 09 PSD 409

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2008:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

FY 2009:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

The expenditure variance is due to collective bargaining augmentation.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no measures of effectiveness are available for this program. Data for measures of effectiveness is available upon request.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Because the Executive's proposed FB 07-09 Program Structure was not approved, no program target groups are available for this program. Data for program target groups is available upon request.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

INTAKE SERVICE CENTERS

PROGRAM-ID: PSD-410
PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010110

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	007-08		THREE	MONTHS EI	NDED 09-3)-08	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-09)
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHAN	GE %	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS												
POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	61.00 3,607	49.00 3,234		20 10	61.00 667	55.00 667	- 6. +	0 10	61.00 2,911	61.00 3,135	+ 0.00 + 224	0 8
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS	61.00	49.00	- 12.00	20	61.00	55.00	- 6.	00 10	61.00	61.00	+ 0.00	0
EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	3,607	3,234	- 373	10	667	667	+	0 0	2,911	3,135	+ 224	8
				,		CAL YEAR				FISCAL YEAR		
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANC	E %	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
%PRETRIAL SUPERVSN CASES APPEA	RG IN COURT A	S SCHED			90	94	 +	4 4	I 90	90	l l + 0	l ! 0
2. %PRETRIAL SUPERVSN CASES NOT C					i 95		i • +	4 4	95		l.+ 0	1 0
3. % COMMUNITY SERVICE RESTITUTN P	ROG CASES CO	MPLETED			35		' +	1 3	35		+ 0	i
4. % OF OFFENDERS THAT COMPLETE A	 _ _				75	91	+	6 21	75	85	+ 10	13
NO. BED SPACE DAYS SAVED THRU IS					300000	335697	+ 356	7 12	300000	350000	+ 50000	17
6. % COMMUNITY SERVICE RESTITUTN P	ROG HOURS CO	MPLETED			35	13	-	22 63	35	15	- 20	57
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP		,			1			1 .			1	
 NUMBER OF PRETRIAL OFFENDERS 					1090	837	•	3 23	1114	1057	- 57	j 5
2. NO. OF SENTENCED OFFENDERS WITH	H COMMUNITY S	TATUS			489	497	+	8 2	503	497	- 6	1
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY					1			1	1 .			
 NUMBER OF PRETRIAL INVESTIGATION 					12000	10585	- 14	5 12	12000	11000	- 1000	j 8
2. NUMBER OF BAIL REPORTS COMPLET					11500	10039		-		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	- 1000	9
NUMBER OF INTAKE SCREENINGS CON					10000	9669	-	11 3			+ 0	0
 NO. OF PRETRIAL CASES PLACED ON I NO. SENTENCED OFFENDERS PLACED 					12000	15329		•	12000		+ 3000	25
6. NO. OFFENDERS PLACED ON COMMUN					200 5000	249 4100		.9 25 10 18	200 6500	250 4000	+ 50	25 38
O. NO. OT ENDERS PEACED ON COMMON	WITT OVE RESTI	FRON			1 5000	4100	- 9	ו טי	1 6500	4000	- 2500	30

PROGRAM TITLE: INTAKE SERVICE CENTERS

09 01 01 10 PSD 410

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2008:

The position and expenditure variances are due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

FY 2009:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- 4. The variance is due to an underestimation of the percentage of offenders that completed alternative sentences.
- 5. The variance is due to an underestimation of the number of bed space days saved through Intake Service Center program and intervention. As more offenders are diverted from incarceration, there will be additional bed days saved.
- 6. The variance is due to an overestimation of the percent of community service restitution program (CSRP) hours completed.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

1. The variance is due to a decrease in the annual number of admissions for pretrial felons.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

- 1. The variance in a decrease number of pretrial investigations initiated was due to shortage of social workers assigned to prepare bail reports.
- 2. The variance in a decrease number of bail reports that were completed resulted from a shortage of social workers that are assigned to prepare bail reports.

- 4. The variance to an increase number of pretrial cases placed under Intake Service Center (ISC) supervision is due to improvement in ISC reports, and ISC staff participating in programs to receive training in best practices and evidence-based skills.
- 5. The variance in the number of sentenced cases placed under ISC supervision were because more referrals were made from the correctional facilities, and more inmates qualified for home detention based on ISC assessments. Electronic monitoring program was also utilized to satisfy the home confinement requirements.
- 6. The variance is due to fewer offenders qualifying to be placed in the CSRP based on ISC recommended.

REPORT V61 12/13/08

CORRECTIONS PROGRAM SERVICES PROGRAM TITLE:

PROGRAM-ID: PSD-420 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010111

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	007-	08		THREE N	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-0	8	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-3	-09	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHAN	GE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)	RCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS													
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	184.00 18,361	162.00 18,977	- +	22.00 616	12 3	184.00 5,864	175.00 5,864	- 9.00 + 0	5 0	184.00 13,645	184.00 14,227	+ 0. + 5	00 82	0 4
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	184.00 18,361	162.00 18,977	- +	22.00 616	12 3	184.00 5,864	175.00 5,864	- 9.00 + 0	5 0	184.00 13,645	184.00 14,227	+ 0. + 5	00 32	0 4
							CAL YEAR				FISCAL YEAR			
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANG	iE	<u>%</u>
 % INMATES COMPL COUNS/TRTMT PRGS FOR SEX OFFENDERS % OF INMATES WHO TEST POSITIVE ON URINALYSIS TESTS 						13		- 4 + 0	31 0	! 13 5 85	- 1	+ + +	0 0	0
3. PERCENT OF INMATES COMPLETING A 4. % OF INMATES COMPLETING PERSONA 5. PERCENT OF INMATES COMPLETING V	AL IMPROVEME	NT PROGS				85 85 85	84 85 84	j + 0	1 0 1	85 85 85	85	++	0 0 0	0 0 0
 % INMATES COMPLETING SUBSTANCE % INMATES PARTICIPATING IN RELIG S 	ABUSE TRTMT	PROGRMS	3			68 75	80	+ 12 + 0	18	68 75	75	+ +	7 0	10 0
8. % MEALS SRVD MEET REQRMTS OF AN 9. # GRIEVANCES/LAWSUITS RELATED TO 10. % SENTNCD FELONS COMPLTD PRGMS	ACCESS TO C	OURTS				100 50 5	1	j - 4	0 8	100 50	50	+ .	0 0	0 0 0
	S/RESENTIND W	7IN 4 YRS					0	. 5	100	. 5	<u>۶ ۱</u>		0 1	U
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE INMATE POPULATION 2. NUMBER OF NEW INMATE ADMISSIONS						 4113 13110	3398 11823	 - 715 - 1287	•	 4113 13538	3700 12000	- 4 - 15	13 38	10 11
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NO. INMATES ADMITTED TO SEX OFF C						52	57	 + 5	•	 52	* .	+	9	17
 NO. OF INMATES ADMITTED TO SUBSTA NUMBER OF URINALYSIS TESTS ADMIN 		ROGRMS				1050 13500		- 402 - 1092	1.0	1050 13500		- 6: - 10:	26 92	60 8
4. NO. OF INMATES PARTICPTG IN LITERA	CY OR ACAD P					5000	5024	+ 24	j o	5000	2092	- 29) 8 j	58
 NO. INMATES PARTICIPATING IN VOCA NUMBER OF MEALS SERVED (PER DAY 		OGS				500 16400	724 13000	+ 224 - 3400	45 21	500 14000		+ 2:	20 0 .	44 0
7. NO. OF INMATES PARTICIPATING IN LIB	RARY PROGRA					38000	30056	- 7944	21	38000	30000	- 80	00 j	21
8. # INMATES WHO ATTND RELIG SVCS/CI 9. NUMBER OF VOLUNTEER HOURS PROV						14400 35000		- 400 - 1125	[3 3	14400 35000	,	- 12 ¹		8 3
10. # SENTNCD FELONS COMPLTD PRGRM						445		- 419	94	445	NO DATA		15	100

PROGRAM TITLE: CORRECTIONS PROGRAM SERVICES

09 01 01 11 PSD 420

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2008:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

FY 2009:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- 1. The variance is due to problems with finalizing vendor contracts resulted in an interruption in treatment services and delays in the completion of programs by sex offenders.
- 6. The variance is due to a major overhaul of the therapeutic community treatment program and increased training for staff resulted in an increase percentage of inmates completing substance abuse treatment.
- 10. The variance is due to no realistic resource to track all sentenced felons who completed the programs and are re-sentenced to prison within four years after release. Recommend limiting the variance to report sex offenders who are resentenced to prison within four years after release.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

- 1. The variance is due to an overestimation of the planned average of the inmate population.
- 2. The variance is due to a decrease in the annual number of admissions for sentenced felons, pretrial felons, sentenced misdemeanants, and pretrial misdemeanants.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

1. The variance is due to an underestimation in the number of inmates

admitted to sex offender counseling or the treatment programs.

- 2. The variance is due to an increase in staff vacancies for the department's contracted treatment programs resulted in the decrease in the number of inmates admitted to substance abuse programs.
- 5. The variance is due to an increase in funding for vocational training and pre-employment programs leading to an increase in inmate participation.
- 6. The variance is due to an increase number of inmates transferred to the Hawaii Federal Detention Center and out-of-state prisons reducing the number of daily meals served.
- 7. The variance is due to the number of facility lockdowns and program cancellations based on security staffing shortages.
- 10. The variance is due to no intrinsic value in knowing how many sentenced felons completed programs and released four years ago. Also, the Department does not have the resources to review all sentenced felon files who were released four years ago to determine which of the felons completed the programs.

HEALTH CARE

PROGRAM-ID: PSD-421
PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010112

-	FISC	AL YEAR 2	007-0	8		THREE !	MONTHS EN	NDE	D 09-30-08		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING	06-30-09	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	±	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±	CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)	·														
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	173.10 17,375	131.10 20,072	. +	42.00 2,697	24 16	201.10 4,505	177.10 4,505	 - +	24.00 0	12 0	201.10 15,561	201.10 16,363	++	0.00 802	0 5
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	173.10 17,375	131.10 20,072	- +	42.00 2,697	24 16	201.10 4,505	177.10 4,505	-+	24.00 0	12 0	201.10 15,561	201.10 16,363	+	0.00 802	0 5
							CAL YEAR				FISCAL YEAR 2008-09				
DART II. MEAGUREO OF FEFEOTIVENEGO						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u> + C</u>	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C	HANGE !	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PERCENT OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING 2. PERCENT OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING 3. PERCENT OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING 4. PERCENT OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING 5. % OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING OUT-OF	PSYCHIATRIC S DENTAL SERVI CHRONIC CARE	SVCS CES E SVCS				 100 100 100 52 76	100 100 100 5 6	+ + -	0 0 0 47 70	0 0 0 90 92	100 100 100 52 76	100 100 100 100 6 6	 + + -	0 0 0 46 70	0 0 0 88 92
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE FACILITY POPULATION						 4113	3398	 -	 715	 17	4113	3700	 -	413	10
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF MEDICAL PROVIDER ENCO. 2. NUMBER OF PSYCHIATRIC ENCOUNTERS. 3. NUMBER OF NURSING ENCOUNTERS. 4. NUMBER OF DENTAL ENCOUNTERS. 5. NUMBER OF CHRONIC CARE ENCOUNT. 6. NO. OFFENDERS SEEN FOR NUTRITION. 7. NUMBER OF OFFENDERS ADMITTED TO. 8. NUMBER OF HOSPITAL ADMISSIONS. 9. NUMBER OF OFFENDERS RECEIVING C. 10. # OFFENDERS RECVING TRSFR SCREE.	RS TERS IAL SCREENING DINFIRMARIES COMPLEX CARE					16000 30072 19844 14960 3530 1895 1390 190 5125	161511 11312 3248 0 1585 231 4174	-	4138 1217 141667 3648 282 1895 41 951 10699	26 4 714 24 8 100 14 22 19 324	16000 30072 19844 14960 3530 1895 1390 190 5125 3304	163000 12200 3700 0 1450 230 4900	+	2600 11928 143156 2760 170 1895 60 40 225 9946	16 40 721 18 5 100 4 21 4 301

PROGRAM TITLE: HEALTH CARE

09 01 01 12 PSD 421

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2008:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

The expenditure variance is due to increased cost of providing medical services.

FY 2009:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- 4. The variance is due to an overestimation of the planned percentage of offenders receiving chronic care services.
- 5. The variance is due to an overestimation of the planned percentage of offenders receiving out-of-facility services.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

1. The variance is due to an overestimation of the planned average facility population.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

- 1. The variance is due to a revised method of reporting the number of medical and psychiatric provider encounters.
- 3. The variance is due to a revised method of documenting and reporting nursing encounters.
- 4. The variance is due to an overestimation of planned dental services.
- 6. The variance is due to the retirement of the Dietitian, and decision not to fill the position.

- 7. The variance is due to an improved infirmary tracking system to report the number of infirmary admissions.
- 8. The variance is due to an overestimation of hospital admissions.
- 9. The variance is due to an overestimation of offenders requiring complex care.
- 10. The variance is due to an increase number of offenders that are transferred to and from the facilities and require screening or discharge summaries.

HAWAII CORRECTIONAL INDUSTRIES

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM-ID: PSD-422
PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010113

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	007-08		THREE	MONTHS E	NDED 09	9-30-08	<u> </u>	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-09	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGI	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CH.	ANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)													
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2.00 7,335	2.00 6,139	+ 0.00	1	2.00 1,170	2.00 1,170	+	0.00	0	2.00 6,165	2.00 6,165	+ 0.00 + 0	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2.00 7,335	2.00 6,139		1	2.00 1,170	2.00 1,170	++	0.00	0	2.00 6,165	2.00 6,165	+ 0.00 + 0	0
						CAL YEAR	2007-08	<u>. </u>			FISCAL YEAR		
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PERCENT OF INMATES EMPLOYED AT 12. 2. % OF INMATES EMPLOYED AT THE FEET 3. % INMATES EMPLOYED AT OUT-OF-STA 4. PERCENT OF INMATES EMPLOYED IN S 5. AMOUNT OF REVENUES GENERATED	ERAL DETENTA	ON CTR D FACIL			PLANNED 5 5 6 0 0 1 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	4 0 0 .17 6620000	 - - + +	0.12 20000	20 100 0 240 7	5 10 0 0.05 6386000	4 10 0 .12 6500000	- 1	% 20 0 0 140 2
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES IN ALL 2. AVERAGE NUMBER OF INMATES IN THE 3. AVE NO. INMATES IN OUT-OF-STATE CO	FED DETENTI	ON CTR			4113 250 2476	3398 300 2014	+	715 50 462	17 20 19	4113 250 2476	3700 300 2014	- 413 + 50 - 462	 10 20 19
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF PRODUCTION SITES 2. NUMBER OF INMATE APPLICATIONS RE 3. NUMBER OF INMATES INTERVIEWED 4. NUMBER OF INMATES EMPLOYED 5. NUMBER OF POSITIONS IN SKILLED TR 6. NUMBER OF INMATE WORK HOURS					12 720 400 360 25 180300	12 582 523 150 25 159400	- + - +	0 138 123 210 0	0 19 31 58 0	15 720 400 360 25 203600			7 7 31 63 11 100

PROGRAM TITLE: HAWAII CORRECTIONAL INDUSTRIES

09 01 01 13 PSD 422

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2008:

The expenditure variance is due to the program spending within available revenues.

FY 2009:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- 1. The variance is due to movement of inmates causing an affect on the percentage of inmates employed at State Facilities.
- 2. The variance is due to an overestimation of the planned percentage of inmates employed at the Federal Detention Center.
- 4. The variance is due to retention of skilled workers as the total workforce declined.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

- 1. The variance is due to an overestimation of the planned number of inmates in all-State facilities.
- 2. The variance is due to the planned number being based on projected need to house jail inmates.
- 3. The variance is due to fewer inmates being eligible for transfer to contracted facilities.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

2. The variance is due to a decreased number of applications received, constant movement of inmates, and inmate pay.

- 3. The variance for the number of inmates interviewed is due to an increase of reapplied applications based on inconsistent recruiting.
- 4. The variance in the number of inmates employed is due to a decrease number of production sites and recruiting.
- 6. The variance in the number of inmate work hours decreased due to OCCC inmate lines declined (from 10 to six) in the later half of the fiscal year.
- 7. The variance is due to an increase in the number of facility lockdown and other stoppages. The larger number of lockdowns, increase number of ACO coverage, and mainland movements translated to an increase in the number of inmate work hours lost.

NON-STATE FACILITIES

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010114

PSD-808

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	007-08		THREE I	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-08	3	NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-09			
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGI	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	10.00 65,126	6.00 63,300	- 4.00 - 1,826		10.00 5,622	8.00 5,622	- 2.00 + 0	20 0	10.00 60,635	10.00 60,672	+ 0.00 + 37	0 0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	10.00 65,126	6.00 63,300	- 4.00 - 1,826	ł	10.00 5,622	8.00 5,622	- 2.00 + 0	20 0	10.00 60,635	10.00 60,672	+ 0.00 + 37	0
					FIS	CAL YEAR	2007-08		I FISCAL YEAR 2008-09			
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. EXEC PGM STRUCTURE CHANGES NOT	APPROVED BY	/ LEG.			NO DATA I	NO DATA	+ 0	 0	 NO DATA	NO DATA	+ 0	0

PROGRAM TITLE: NON-STATE FACILITIES

09 01 01 14 PSD 808

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2008:

The position variance is due to recruitment difficulties.

FY 2009:

The position variance is due to recruitment difficulties.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

This is a new program ID established by the 2007 Legislature; as such, no measures of effectiveness are available for this program. Data for measures of effectiveness is available upon request.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

This is a new program ID established by the 2007 Legislature; as such, no program target groups are available for this program. Data for program target groups is available upon request.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

This is a new program ID established by the 2007 Legislature; as such, no program activities are available for this program. Data for program activities is available upon request.

ENFORCEMENT

PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090102

<u> </u>	FISC	AL YEAR 2	007-08		THREE	THREE MONTHS ENDED 09-30-08					NINE MONTHS ENDING 06-30-09				
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANG	E %	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CF	IANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%		
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	378.00 20,176	339.00 18,963	- 39.0 - 1,21		379.00 4,742	346.00 4,742	- +	33.00	9	379.00 15,585	379.00 16,486	+ 0.00 + 901	0		
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	378.00 20,176	339.00 18,963	- 39.0 - 1,21		379.00 4,742	346.00 4,742	- +	33.00	9	379.00 15,585	379.00 16,486	+ 0.00 + 901	0		
					lFIS	CAL YEAR	2007-08	3		I FISCAL YEAR 2008-09					
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	+ CH/	ANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%		
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NUMBER OF NEW ARRESTS MADE					94	2917	+	2823	3003	 101	3240	+ 3139	3108		

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

NARCOTICS ENFORCEMENT

PROGRAM-ID: PSD-502 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010202

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	007-08		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-0	3	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-09	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)						-						
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	18.00 1,706	16.00 1,592	- 2.00 - 114	11 7	19.00 361	18.00 361	- 1.00 + 0	5 0	19.00 1,301	19.00 1,369	+ 0.00 + 68	0 5
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	18.00 1,706	16.00 1,592	- 2.00 - 114	11 7	19.00 361	18.00 361	- 1.00 + 0	5 0	19.00 1,301	19.00 1,369	+ 0.00 + 68	0 5
·					FIS	CAL YEAR	2007-08		L	FISCAL YEAR	2008-09	
	PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%				
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. % CERTIFICATES/PEMITS ISSUED WITHOUT COMPLAINT 2. % OF CASES THAT RESULTED IN SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTION 3. % OF CASES RELEASED PENDING FUTHER INVESTIGATION 4. % CASES REFERRED/ACCEPTED BY PROSECUTING AGENCIES 5. % CASES REFERRED/DECLINED BY PROSECUTING AGENCIES						61 100 0	- 50 + 1 + 2 - 2	2 100	j 1	50 60 99 1	+ 0 - 45 + 5 + 0 + 0	 0 47 9 0
 PERCENT OF CASES REFERRED TO FE CRIMINL CASES RSLTD IN ASSET FO CASES INVESTIGTD & RESOLVD W/C OF DRUG EDUCATION OR TRAINING NINQUIRIES MADE ON ELECTRONIC R 	ASSET FORFT/RECVD BY DEPT OLVD W/OUT CRIMINAL ACTION FRAINING SESSIONS CONDUCTED				1 10 55 93 99	1 9 44 90 100	- 1 - 11 - 3	0 10 20 3	1 10 60 95	55 90	+ 0	0 0 8 5 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. STATE DEFACTO POPULATION 2. NUMBER OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANC 3. NUMBER OF REGULATED CHEMICAL R 4. NUMBER OF MEDICAL USE OF MARIJU, 5. NUMBER OF MEDICAL USE OF MARIJU, 6. NO. PHYSICNS PARTICPTG IN MED USE	EGISTRANTS ANA PATIENTS ANA CAREGIVEI	RS			1456960 6150 40 2450 225	1415621 5871 14 4200 398 81	- 26 + 1750 + 173	3 5 65 71 77	6200 6200	1425174 6000 15 4500 350 75	- 200 - 25 + 2050 + 125	3 3 63 84 56 7
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. # CONTR SUBS/REG CHM/ORAL/MJ RG: 2. TOTAL NO. CASES THAT RESULTED IN 3. TOTAL NO. CASES REFERRD/ACCEPTD: 4. TOTAL NO. CASES REFERRD/DECLND IS 5. TOTAL NUMBER OF CASES REFERRED 6. NO. CASES INVESTGTD FROM HIA/COR 7. NUMBER OF REGULATORY ACTIONS TO 8. NO. OF EDUCATIONAL AND TRAINING IS 9. NO. OF FORENSIC DRUG ANALYSIS CO 10. # CNTRLLD SUBS RX PROCSSD BY ELE	SUCCESSFUL F BY PROSECUTA BY PROSECUTA TO FEDERAL A RR FACILITIES/O AKEN BESSIONS CONI NDUCTED BY N	PROSEC TG AGENC IG AGENC GENCIES THER DUCTED IED LAB			5800 120 117 3 5 675 185 60 700 966000	4 574 317 77	- 76 - 19 - 3 - 1 - 101 + 132 + 17 - 700	71 63 16 100 20 15 71 28 100	5800 120 117 3 5 675 200 900 966000	50 100 2 5 575 320 80 100	+ 4200 - 70 - 17 - 1 + 0 - 100 + 120 + 20 - 800 + 234000	72 58 15 33 0 15 60 33 89

PROGRAM TITLE: NARCOTICS ENFORCEMENT

09 01 02 02 PSD 502

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2008:

The position variance is due to recruitment difficulties.

FY 2009:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- 2. The variance is due to the number of referrals made to the Federal or County system. The variance does not accurately measure the division's effectiveness in relation to the prosecution of the case but that of the prosecuting agency. NED's cases may result in successful prosecution two years after State and County prosecutors charged the cases.
- 5. The variance in a decrease percentage of cases referred and declined by prosecuting agencies are due to all 98 cases being accepted by the Federal or County prosecutors.
- 7. The variance is due to an overestimation of the percent of criminal cases resulted in asset forfeiture/received by the Department.
- 8. The variance is due to an overestimation of the percent of cases investigated and resolved without criminal action.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

- 3. The variance to a decrease in the number of regulated chemical registrants is due to the implementation of Act 171, signed on June 5, 2006. Act 171's deletion of the exemption for over-the-counter sales of ephedrine and phenylpropanelamine products caused a noticeable reduction in the number of sales or distribution of the products.
- 4. The variance is due to a significant increase in the number of registered patients on the islands of Hawaii and Oahu using marijuana.

- 5. The variance is due to an increase in the number of patient caregivers on the islands of Hawaii and Oahu that provided services.
- 6. The variance is due to an increase in the number of patients on the islands of Hawaii and Oahu that resulted in physicians treating patients in the medical use of marijuana program.

- 1. The variance is due to an increase in the number of controlled substance registrations, oral code, regulated chemical permits, and medical marijuana certificates for the medical use of marijuana.
- 2. The variance is due to a decrease number of successful prosecutions by the County or Federal prosecution offices. Of the 98 cases accepted, the Federal or County prosecuting agency prosecuted 44 cases. The performance standard does not accurately measure NED's effectiveness in relations to the prosecution of the cases, but measures the effectiveness of the prosecuting agency.
- 3. The variance is due to an overestimation of the projected numbers of cases referred and accepted by the prosecuting agencies. All 98 cases submitted to the Federal or County prosecuting agency were accepted.
- 4. The variance in a decrease number of cases referred and declined by prosecuting agencies is due to all 98 cases being accepted by the Federal or County prosecutors.
- 5. The variance is due to a decrease number of cases referred to the Federal Agencies.
- 6. The variance in the numbers of criminal cases from the Honolulu International Airport, correctional facilities, and other locations is due to an increase drug education and prevention programs during the fiscal year. NED also noticed a decrease in the amount of clandestine

PROGRAM TITLE: NARCOTICS ENFORCEMENT

09 01 02 02 PSD 502

laboratories throughout the State.

- 7. The variance in the number of regulatory actions taken is due to an increase use of NED's electronic prescription monitoring program by physicians, pharmacies, and law enforcement agencies.
- 8. The variance is due to an increase in the number of drug education or training sessions conducted by NED. NED conducted 77 drug and clandestine laboratory presentations that was attended by 7,326 individuals and covered by the media.
- 9. The variance is due to an overestimation of the number of forensic drug analysis conducted by the NED lab.
- 10. The variance is due to a significant increase in the number of controlled substance prescriptions processed by NED investigators who are assigned to the Electronic Prescription Monitoring Program.

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

SHERIFF PSD-503

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010203

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	007-08	3		THREE	MONTHS E	NDE	D 09-30-08		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING	3 06-30-09	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CI	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	. <u>±</u>	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±	CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)											·				
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	360.00 18,470	323.00 17,371	-	37.00 1,099	10 6	360.00 4,381	328.00 4,381	 - +	32.00 0	9	360.00 14,284	360.00 15,117	++	0.00 833	0 6
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	360.00 18,470	323.00 17,371	-	37.00 1,099	10 6	360.00 4,381	328.00 4,381	- +	32.00 0	9	360.00 14,284	360.00 15,117	+	0.00 833	0 6
							CAL YEAR					FISCAL YEAR			
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u> + C</u>	CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C	CHANGE	%
AVERAGE RESPONSE TIME FOR ALL IN	CIDENTS					2	NO DATA	 -	2	100	2	2	 +	0	1 0
PERCENT OF GRAND JURY AND HPA W		√ED				80	100	+	20	25	80	-	; +	0	j o
 PERCENT OF TRAFFIC WARRANTS SER PERCENT OF THREATS INVESTIGATED 	RVED					25 100	, ,	-	15 ļ 0 l	60	25		+	0	0
P-19044						100	100	+	0 1	. 0	100	100	+	0	0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. STATE DEFACTO POPULATION						1456960	1415621	 -	41339	3	1473730	1425174	 -	48556	 3
2. NUMBER OF STATE DEPARTMENTS						21		+	0	0	21	1	+	0	0
NUMBER OF STATE COURTHOUSES # PERSONS IN CUSTODY REQ DETENTI	N/TRANSPRT/PR	ROCESS				15 NO DATA	15 28463		0 28463	0 0	15 NO DATA	15 28400	+ +	0 28400	j 0 I 0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY						NO DATA	20400	<u>' '</u>	20700		HODAIA	20400		20400	
NUMBER OF SERVICE TYPE CASES						2121	2665	 +	544 l	26	2142	1500	 -	642	l I 30
2. NUMBER OF CRIMINAL CASES RECEIVE	:D					945	3560	,	2615	277	1011		+	689	68
3. NUMBER OF ARREST INCIDENTS					j	94		+	2823	3003	101		+	3139	3108
4. NO. THREATS AGNST GOVT OFFICLS/S 5. NUMBER OF GRAND JURY AND HPA WA						4	2	-	2	50	4		+	20	500
NO. PERSONS DETAINED IN DISTRICT 8						789 37895	· 1216 24873	+ -	427 13022	54 34	789 39789		+ -	461 3789	58 10
7. NUMBER OF CUSTODY TRANSPORTS	CINCOIT COOF	(10			·	14813	673	- -	14140 I	95			- -	14354	92
8. NUMBER OF TRAFFIC WARRANTS RECE	EIVED					25526	8594	ı	16932	66	26802	20000		6802	25
NUMBER OF TRAFFIC CITATIONS ISSUE	:D					158	5335	+	5177	3277	165	7200	+	7035	4264

PROGRAM TITLE: SHERIFF

09 01 02 03 PSD 503

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2008:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

FY 2009:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- 1. No data provided. Sheriff Division was not able to provide the average response time (in minutes) for all incidents.
- 2. The variance is due to an increase in the number of warrants issued by the courts and Hawaii Paroling Authority (HPA) for offenders who are a greater risk to public safety.
- 3. The variance in a decrease number of traffic bench arrest warrants served is due to an increase focus on serving grand jury and HPA warrants.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variance.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

- 1. The variance is due to an underestimation in the number of service type cases. The Sheriff Division does not have any control over the number of service type calls received.
- 2. The variance is due to an underestimation on the number of criminal cases received. The Sheriff Division does not have any control over the number of criminal cases received.
- 3. The variance is due to the efficiency of the Sheriff Division in

responding to an incident and making the arrest.

- 4. The variance is due to an overestimation of the number of threats against government officials and state government employees reported. Sheriff Division responded and reported both cases in a timely manner.
- 5. The variance is due to an underestimation in the number of warrants issued by the courts and HPA for service. The Sheriff Division does not have any control over the number of warrants issued.
- 6. The variance is due to an overestimation of the number of persons detained in district or circuit courts, and a decrease number of defendants held on bail pending court cases.
- 7. The variance is due to an overestimation of the planned number. The Sheriff Division does not have any control over the number of required transports. The custody transports are based on court orders for person(s) held in state correctional facilities, state hospitals, juvenile detention centers, or other court ordered facilities to be transported to court hearings.
- 8. The variance is due to an overestimation of the planned number. The recent decriminalization of various traffic offenses has reduced the number of traffic cases that resulted in a criminal warrant.
- 9. The variance is due to a change in the methodology of measurements in the number of traffic citations issued for traffic crimes, traffic infractions, parking violations, and miscellaneous crimes.

PROGRAM TITLE:

PAROLE SUPERVISION AND COUNSELING

PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090103

EPORT REPORT V61
12/13/08

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	007-08		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-08	3	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-09	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)						-						
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	58.00 3,772	48.00 3,725	- 10.00 - 47	17 1	58.00 628	54.00 628	- 4.00 + 0	7 0	58.00 3,144	58.00 3,342	+ 0.00 + 198	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	58.00 3,772	48.00 3,725		17 1	58.00 628	54.00 628	- 4.00 + 0	7 0	58.00 3,144	58.00 3,342	+ 0.00 + 198	0 6
					FIS	CAL YEAR	2007-08			FISCAL YEAR	2008-09	
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RETURNED FOR INMATES GRANTED EARLY 2. PERCENT OF INMATES GRANTED EARLY		 375 5	284 5	- 91 + 0	 24 0	 375 5	9 !	+ 0 + 0	 0 0			
 AV TIME ON PAROLE BEFORE FINAL DI UNEMPLOYMENT RATE AMONG PAROL 	•)			5 6	5 12	+ 0 + 6	0 100	5 6	5 6	+ 0 +) 0 0

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

PROGRAM TITLE:

ADULT PAROLE DETERMINATIONS

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-611 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010301

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	007-0	8		THREE	MONTHS E	NDEC	09-30-08		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING (06-30-09	
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± C	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	. <u>+</u> (CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± C	HANGE	%
RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)															
OPERATING COSTS					<u>:</u>										
POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	3.00 238	3.00 237	-	0.00	0 0	3.00 - 56	3.00 56	+	0.00	0	3.00 182	3.00 182	+	0.00	0 0
TOTAL COSTS		1								<u> </u>					
POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	3.00 238	3.00 237		0.00	0 0	3.00 56	3.00 56	++	0.00	. 0	3.00 182	3.00 182	++	0.00	0
						FIS	CAL YEAR	2007	-08			FISCAL YEAR	2008-	-09	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	. %	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CH	ANGE	- %
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS															
PERCENT OF INMATES GRANTED EARL AND ENGLISHED STATE OF THE PERCENT AND ADDRESS OF THE PERCENT ADDRESS OF THE PERCENT AND ADDRESS OF THE PERCENT AND ADDRESS OF THE PERCENT ADDRESS OF THE PERCENT ADDRESS OF THE PERCENT AND ADDRESS OF THE PERCENT AND ADDRESS OF THE PERCENT AND ADDRESS OF						5	5		0	. 0	5	5	+	0	0
 AV LENGTH OF TIME BEFORE NEXT PAI AV TIME ON PAROLE BEFORE FINAL DIS 	•	,				11	11		0	0	11 5		+	0	0
4. % INMATES GRANTED PAROLE AT EXPI						l 50		+ +	1 0	0	5 50		+	0	0
5. NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RETU						375	284	-	91	24	375		+	0	0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP			•			1		1	1						
 AV NO. OF SENTENCED FELONS IN STA 		STEM				3758	3553	-	205	5	3868	3792	-	76	2
2. NUMBER OF PAROLEES UNDER HAWAI	JURISDICTION					2200	1932		268	12	2200	2200	+	0	0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY								1	I	/				I	
 NUMBER OF MINIMUM SENTENCES FIXI 						2530	2746		216	9	2530	2748		218	9
2. # PERSONS CONSIDERED FOR PAROLE	AT MIN EXPRT	N DATE				1396	1626		230	16	1396		+	192	14
NUMBER OF PAROLES GRANTED NUMBER OF PAROLES DENIED						646	647	•	1	0	646		+	2	. 0
5. NUMBER OF PAROLES DENIED						j 914 I 327	1235 284		321 43	35 13	914 327		+	322 43	35 13
6. NO OF APPL FOR REDUCTN OF MIN SE	NTENCE CONSI	DERED				i 162	127	•	45 35	22	162		-	45 34	21
7. NUMBER OF PARDON APPLICATIONS C						l 66	45		21	32	66		_	18 I	27
8. NUMBER OF PAROLEES REVIEWED FOR	R DISCHARGE					224	103	,	121	54	224		-	32	14
NUMBER OF INFORMAL INTERVIEWS CO	ONDUCTED					38	15	-	23	61 j	38	20 j	-	18 j	47

PROGRAM TITLE: ADULT PAROLE DETERMINATIONS

09 01 03 01 PSD 611

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2008:

No significant variance.

FY 2009:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

5. The variance is due to the decreased supervision and community support parolees are receiving.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

2. The variance is due to the decreased supervision and community support. The Parole Board amended the terms and conditions of parole by adding the requirement for parolees to meet conditions prior to releasing the inmates back into the community.

- 2. The variance is due to an increase in the number of inmate cases the Parole Board considers for parole at the minimum expiration date.
- 4. The variance in the number of paroles being denied is due to a larger number of inmates cases being scrutinized by the Parole Board and not completing all of the recommended programs prior to their initial parole.
- 5. The variance is due to the decreased supervision and community support parolees are receiving.
- 6. The variance in the number of applications for reduction of minimum sentences is due to inmates not applying in greater numbers as originally planned.
- 7. The variance is due to a reduced number of applications for pardons filed by inmates.

- 8. The variance in the number of parole discharges reviewed is due to an increase number of parolees who are repeat offenders, committed multiple offenses, have violent and/or long have long criminal histories. These parolees need more supervision and counseling before any parole discharge review is conducted.
- 9. The variance in a decrease number is due to the Parole Board's belief that informal interviews should be granted as an exception rather than a rule.

ADULT PAROLE SUPERVISION & COUNSELING

REPORT V61

12/13/08

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010302

PSD-612

	FISCAL YEAR 2007-08 BUDGETED ACTUAL ± CHANGE				THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30	08	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-	30-09		
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CH	ANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANG	E %	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHA	NGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)							,							
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	55.00 3,534	45.00 3,488		10.00 46	18 1	55.00 572	51.00 572	- 4.00 + (55.00 2,962	55.00 3,160		0.00 198	0 7
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	55.00 3,534	45.00 3,488		10.00 46	18 1	55.00 572	51.00 572	- 4.00 + (55.00 2,962	55.00 3,160		0.00 198	0 7
							CAL YEAR				FISCAL YEAR			
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. % RECOM RELATG TO PAROLE RELEAS 2. NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATORS RETU 3. AMOUNT OF RESTITUTION COLLECTED 4. AV TIME ON PAROLE BEFORE FINAL DIS 5. UNEMPLOYMENT RATE AMONG PAROLE	JRNED TO PRIS SCHARGE (YEA	ON				PLANNED 77 375 121788 4 6	70 284 48823	- 9 ² - 72965 +	9 24	PLANNED 77 375 121788 4	327	 + -	0 48 788 1 0	% 0 13 63 25 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. NO. OF PAROLEES IN HAWAII FROM OT 2. NO. PAROLEES UNDER HAWAII JURISD 3. NO. PAROLEES UNDER HAWAII JURISD 4. AV NO. OF SENTENCED INMATES IN ST	ICTN OUT OF S ICTN WITHIN ST	TATE FATE				176 140 1599 3758	1708	 - 128 + 3 + 109 - 208	i 2 7	 176 140 1599 3868	140 1599	- + +	126 0 0 76	72 0 0 2
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF PREPAROLE INVESTIGATION 2. NUMBER OF ARREST WARRANTS ISSUE 3. NUMBER OF PAROLE DISCHARGES RECOMPACT ACTIVITY 4. NUMBER OF PARDON INVESTIGATIONS 5. NUMBER OF INTERSTATE COMPACT ACTIVITY 6. NUMBER OF PAROLEES UNDER SPECIAL TO THE PAROLES UNDER INTERS 7. NUMBER OF PAROLEES UNDER INTERS 8. NUMBER OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING	ED COMMENDED CONDUCTED GREEMENTS ALIZED SUPERVIS	/ision ion				1617 370 227 66 120 280 70 0	312 195 46 119 200 50	- 32 - 20 - 1 - 80 - 20	16 14 30 1 1 29	1617 370 227 66 120 280 70	314 195 60 119 200	- - -	83 56 32 6 1 80 20 0	5 15 14 9 1 29 29

PROGRAM TITLE: ADULT PAROLE SUPERVISION & COUNSELING

09 01 03 02 PSD 612

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2008:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

FY 2009:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- 2. The variance is due to Parole Officers undergoing training to identify and address factors that result in recidivism. With training, Parole Officers are able to provide additional community-based substance abuse treatment and job development services for the parole population, resulting in fewer violators.
- 3. The variance is due to an overestimation of the amount of restitution collected. There is a concerted effort on the part of the Hawaii Paroling Authority (HPA) and Crime Victim Compensation Committee to increase the amount of restitution collected from the parole population and paid to the victims and/or their families.
- 4. The variance is due to the Hawaii Paroling Board being more reluctant to approve early discharges, with many first time requests being denied.
- 5. The variance is due to the weakness of the State's economy, in particular, the building industry, which in the past would have employed a larger number of parolees in both, skilled and unskilled positions.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

1. The variance is due to the number of policy and procedure changes within the Inter-State Compact system. Fewer parolees are no longer eligible to transfer to other States.

- 1. The variance is due to an increase number of requests for additional investigation to be completed regarding housing for the inmates upon their release.
- 2. The variance is due to the diligent supervision by the parole officers in addressing criminogenic factors with the parolee and utilizing community resources.
- 3. The variance in the number of parole discharges recommended directly correlates to the Parole Board's policy of requiring repeat and/or violent offenders to remain under parole supervision for longer periods of time. This resulted in the Parole Officers submitting less early discharge recommendations to the Parole Board.
- 4. The variance in the number of pardon applications considered is due to fewer requests for pardon consideration. Therefore, fewer investigations were completed than planned.
- 6. The variance in a decrease number of persons under specialized parole supervision is due to a work force shortage in the specialized supervision staff causing some parolees to be supervised by officers in other units. Also the use of new evaluation tools, some parolees previously determined to be "high risk― are being assessed at lower levels of supervision.
- 7. The variance in a decrease number of persons under intensive parole supervision is due to a work force shortage in the intensive supervision staff causing some parolees to be supervised by officers in other units.

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61 12/13/08

PROGRAM TITLE:

CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION COMMISSION

PROGRAM-ID:

PSD-613

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090104

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	007-08	3		THREE	MONTHS EI	NDE	D 09-30-08		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-09	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CI	HANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	. <u>±</u>	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)										-				
OPERATING COSTS						ļ							·	
POSITIONS	8.00	8.00	+	0.00	0.	8.00	8.00	+	0.00	0	8.00	8.00	+ 0.00	0
EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	2,694	1,375	-	1,319	49	443	: 443	+	0	0	2,251	2,251	+ 0	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	8.00 2,694	8.00 1,375		0.00 1,319	0 49	8.00 443	8.00 443	+	0.00	0	8.00 2,251	8.00 2,251	+ 0.00 + 0	. 0
	•		•			FIS	CAL YEAR	2007	7-08		<u>,</u>	FISCAL YEAR	2008-09	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	± (CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. AV TIME FM DATE APP RCV TO DATE D 2. AV TIME FROM AWARD TO DATE PURC 3. PERCENT OF CLAIMANTS WHO RECEIV 4. AVERAGE COMPENSATION AWARD MA	H ORDR PREPR ED COMPENSA	D (WKS)				16 4 75 1500		 + + +	0 0 3 450	0 0 4 30	16 4 75 1500	16 4 75 1500	+ 0 + 0 + 0 + 0	0 0 0 0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. # PERSONS STATEWIDE WHO MAY BE	ELIG FOR COM	PENSATN				1319140	1319140	 +	0	0	 1332870	1332870	+ 0	0
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY								1	_ [!			
NUMBER OF CLAIMS RECEIVED						800	802 NO DATA	+	2	0	800	800	+ 0	0
DOLLAR VALUE OF CLAIMS RECEIVED NUMBER OF HEARINGS HELD						1000000	NO DATA 4	-	1000000 2	100 33	1000000 6	NO DATA 6	- 1000000 + 0	100 0
NUMBER OF COMPENSATION AWARDS	MADE					600	598	l - I -	2	33 0	i 600		+ 0	, 0 , 0
5. NUMBER OF ADMINISTRATIVE MEETING						6	5	 -	1	17	l 6	6	+ 0	0
6. NUMBER OF CLAIMS DENIED						200	169	i -	31	16	200	- 1	+ 0	0

PROGRAM TITLE: CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION COMMISSION

09 01 04 PSD 613

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2008:

The expenditure variance is due to the program spending within available revenue and less than anticipated expenditures in the victim of crime act federal grant.

FY 2009:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

4. The variance in the average compensation award made decreased due to the Medical Expense Reduction Project negotiating lower medical payments made on behalf of victims.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

No significant variance.

- 2. No data provided. Program was not able to provide the dollar value of claims received.
- 3. The variance is due to an overestimation of the number of hearing held. The number of victim's appealing their cases was less than projected.
- 5. The variance is due to administrative hearings being held in conjunction with appeal hearings and scheduling difficulties.
- 6. The variance is due to the Commission working to ensure that eligible victim's application are filed promptly and accurately so the claims are not rejected.

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61 12/13/08

PROGRAM TITLE:

GENERAL SUPPORT - CRIMINAL ACTION

PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090105

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	007-08	}		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-08	3	NINE	MONTHS ENI	DING 06-30-09	
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CH	IANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)													
DPERATING COSTS POSITIONS	204.40	404.00		22.40	44	040.40	407.40	22.00		040'40	000.40	0.00	
EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	204.10 19,555	181.00 17,729	-	23.10 1,826	11 9	210.10 3,384	187.10 2,915	- 23.00 - 469	11 14	210.10 15,169	208.10 16,129	- 2.00 + 960	6
TOTAL COSTS													
POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	204.10 19,555	181.00 17,729	-	23.10 1,826	11 9	210.10 3,384	187.10 2,915	- 23.00 - 469	11 14	210.10 15,169	208.10 16,129	- 2.00 + 960	1 6
						FIS	CAL YEAR	2007-08		1	FISCAL YEAR	2008-09	
						PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PERCENTAGE OF VACANCIES FILLED						50	51	+ 1	 2	 50	50	+ 0	0
2. AV TIME TO COMPLETE PAYMENT TRANS 3. % DEPT'L EMPLOYEES COMPLETING TO	•	•				25 80	24 90	- 1 + 10	4 13	25 80	25 70	+ 0	0

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

PROGRAM TITLE:

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010501

PSD-900

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	007-08		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-08	3	NINE	MONTHS END	DING 06-30-09	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	146.10 13,310	123.00 12,955		16 3	149.10 1,967	136.10 1,967	- 13.00 + 0	·, 9	149.10 10,300	149.10 11,192	+ 0.00 + 892	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	POSITIONS 146.10 123.00 - 23.10						- 13.00 + 0	9	149.10 10,300	149.10 11,192	+ 0.00 + 892	0
			CAL YEAR				FISCAL YEAR	2008-09				
DART II. MEACURES OF FEFESTIVENESS					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	<u> </u>	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. PERCENT OF VACANCIES FILLED 2. PERCENT OF DELEGATED POSITION A	CTIONS PROCE	SSED			 50 90	51 93			 50 90		+ 0 + 0	 0 0
3. AV TIME TO COMPLETE PAYMENT TRA					25	24	•		25		+ 0	j o
 % DEPT'L EMPLOYEES COMPLETING TO % INTERNL INVSTGTNS CLOSED BY INSTANCE. 					80	90		13	80		- 10	13
6. % INTERNL INVSTGTNS COMPLETED B					50 95	88 83		76 13	50 95	**	+ 0 + 0) 0 I 0
7. % ADA COMPLNTS INVSTGTD/CLOSED	AFTER ACTION	TAKEN			90	66	•	27	95	80	-	16
8. % HARASSMNT/DISCRMNTN COMPLNT					90		1	1	•		- 10	11
9. % ACTIVE CIP PROJCTS ON/AHEAD OF	SCHDL A/O JUN	NE 30			25	25	+ 0	0	25	25	+ 0	0
PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. NUMBER OF DEPARTMENTAL EMPLOYI	FES				 2489	2318	 - 171	 7	 2489	2428	- 61	2
2. NUMBER OF CORRECTIONAL FACILITIE					2403	8 1		0	1 8		+ 0	0
3. STATE DEFACTO POPULATION					1456960	1415621	- 41339	3	1473730		- 48556	3
4. AVERAGE INMATE POPULATION					6839	6014	- 825	12	6839	6455	- 384	6
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY			- "									
1. NUMBER OF NEW REQUESTS TO FILL V					230	310	+ 80	35	230		+ 30	13
 NUMBER OF DELEGATED POSITION AC NUMBER OF FISCAL TRANSACTIONS PI 					660	0.0	- 117	18	660	,	+ 0	0
# TIME SHEETS PROC FOR OT & EMERG					305 4000	295 4100		3 3	305 4000	,	- 21 + 200	7 5
5. NUMBER OF TRAINING SESSIONS CON		O. 1111			NO DATA	710		. 0	I NO DATA		+ 200 + 861	0
6. # INTERNAL INVSTGTNS RCVD BY INSP	& INVSTGTN O				200	813		307	200		+ 400	200
7. NO. INTERNAL INVSTGTNS INITIATD BY	INTERNL AFFA	IRS			300	145		52	300	114	- 186	62
8. NUMBER OF ADA COMPLAINTS FILED					. 8	3		63	6	- 1	+ 0	0
 NO. OF HARASSMENT/DISCRIMINATION NUMBER OF ACTIVE CIP PROJECTS AS 		FILED			40	٠. ا	3	8	35	,	+ 7	20
TO. NOWBER OF ACTIVE CIP PROJECTS AS	OF JUNE 30				8	31	+ 23	288	8	20	+ 12	150

PROGRAM TITLE: GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

09 01 05 01 PSD 900

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY 2008:

The position variance is due to employee turnover and recruitment difficulties.

FY 2009:

No significant variance.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

- 4. The variance in the number of completed Training and Staff Development (TSD) training sessions is due to an increase in the training budget.
- 5. The variance is due to the number of Social Workers assigned to investigate and close cases brought to the attention of the Inspection and Investigation Office.
- 6. The variance is due to an increase number of staff turnover and cases being returned to the facilities to manage.
- 7. The variance is due to an overestimation in the percent when ADA complaints were closed after actions are taken.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

4. The variance is due to an over projection in the number of jail inmates.

- 1. The variance is due to an increase number of new requests to fill vacancies from the programs.
- 2. The variance is due to delays in updating the organization codes for the delegated positions.

- 6. The variance is due to an increase number of internal investigations received by the Inspection and Investigation Office. A large number of internal investigations were closed due to lack of sufficient evidence to support the claim.
- 7. The variance is due to a higher complexity of cases being handled by the investigators.
- 8. The variance is due to a low number of ADA complaints filed. The low number is attributed to the efforts to educate management and employees on ADA compliance.
- 10. The variance is due to an underestimation in the number of active Capital Improvement Projects (CIP).

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61 12/13/08

PROGRAM TITLE: PROGRAM-ID:

STATE CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFO & IDENTIFICATION

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 09010502

ATG-231

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	007-08		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-08	3	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-09	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANG	E %	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)												
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	58.00 6,245	58.00 4,774	+ 0.0 - 1,47		61.00 1,417	51.00 948	- 10.00 - 469	16 33	61.00 4,869	59.00 4,937	- 2.00 + 68	3 1
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	58.00 6,245	58.00 4,774	+ 0.0 - 1,47		61.00 1,417	51.00 948	- 10.00 - 469	16 33	61.00 4,869	59.00 4,937	- 2.00 + 68	3 1
						CAL YEAR				FISCAL YEAR		
DART II. MEACURES OF FEFESTIVENESS					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	<u>%</u>	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. %CIV ID APPCT SVD MONTH MAIN OFF 2. AV# DAYS REQUIRD TO COMPLETE EXI 3. AV # DAYS TO ENTER DISPOSITION DA' 4. % COMPLETE DISPOSITIONS ON CJIS-1- 5. % OF ELIGIBLE SEX OFFENDERS THAT 6. % REG SEX OFFENDERS WHO COMPLY 7. AV# DAYS TO COMPLETE CRIM HIS REG 8. AV# DAYS FOR CRIM FINGERPRTS TO G 9. % MONTHLY LATENT FINGERPRT/PALM PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. PERSONS WITH CRIMINAL RECORDS 2. PERSONS WITH EXPUNGEABLE RECORD 3. PERSONS WITH STATE ID CARDS 4. NO. CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES SVD 5. CJIS-HAWAII USERS	PUNGEMENT PI TA PER SEGME HAWAII REGISTERED 'WWER PROCE CORD CHK REC BE RECVD BY F IPRT HITS RDS (CNTY/STATE/F	ROCESS NT ESS RUESTS BI			75 90 31 93 35 75 5 10 35 475000 247000 570000 95 3600	75 5 10 35 492711 259166 336512 94 4136	+ 24 - 21 + 0 + 5 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 17711 + 12166 - 233488 - 1 + 536	27 68 0 14 0 0 0 0	 475000 247000 570000 95	10 93 40 75 5 1 35 495000 471100 95 3600	+ 20 - 21 + 0 + 5 + 0 + 0 - 9 + 0 + 20000 + 13000 - 98900 + 0 + 0	0
 PERSONS WITH ELIGIBLE SEX OFFEND NUMBER OF AGENCIES USING AFIS 	ER CHARGES	7			6500 6	6200 9	- 300 .+ 3	5 50	[6500 6		- 300 + 5	5 83
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. #REG SEX OFFENDRS REQURING QTR 2. #PUB ACC/WEB TRANSACTINS CONDUCT 3. # OF INQUIRY TRANSACTIONS CONDUCT 4. # OF EXPUNGEMENT REQUESTS PROCT 5. # OF STATE ID CARDS ISSUED ANNUALT 6. #NAME-BASED APPLICANT RECORD CH 7. #FINGERPRT-BASED APPLICANT RECORD 8. # CRIMINAL FINGERPRINTS PROCESSE	TED ON CJIS-H CTED ON CJIS-H ESSED/DENIED LY IECKS PROCES RD CHKS PROC D THRU AFIS	AWAII HAWAII SED CESSED			2400 5500000 1200000 1200 80000 4500 25000 35000		- 758 + 2253 - 1432	17 38 10 7 1 50 6 27	2400 5500000 1200000 1200 80000 4500 25000 35000	1300000 1300 80000 7000 25000	- 400 + 2500000 + 100000 + 100 + 0 + 2500 + 0 + 20000	 17 45 8 8 0 56 0
 #LATENT FINGER/PALM PRINT SEARCH #CJIS-HAWAII RECS INDEXED ON INTER 					10000	4945 43000		51 87	10000 28000		+ 0 + 32000	0 114

PROGRAM TITLE: STATE CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFO & IDENTIFICATION

09 01 05 02 ATG 231

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

FY08 Actual Expenditures: The \$1,471,000 difference between the budgeted and actual expenditures occurred because significantly less than anticipated was spent out of one non-general fund account - S210-National Criminal History Record Improvement Program (NCHIP). No actual funds lapsed because the federal grant funds are drawn down only as expenditures are incurred for this multi-year federal grant.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Item 2: The increase in days to complete the expungement process is due to the increase in the number of charges that need disposition research and delays in receiving property from the arresting agencies.

Item 3: The decrease in posting disposition time is due to programming changes that were made to allow the prosecutors to post dispositions earlier.

Item 5: The increase in eligible sex offenders is due to the continued focus on the enforcement of the sex offender registration statute and the reduction of non-compliant offenders/registrants.

Item 8: The estimated number of days to the FBI decreases to 1 with the anticipation of Maui implementing the electronic capture of fingerprints at the end of 2008.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Item 3: This represents the number of people with unexpired State ID cards. Previously, we reported the number of records that existed in our system regardless of their card's expiration date. The number we are now reporting is more indicative of this target group.

Item 5: This increase reflects inactive CJIS-Hawaii users that have not accessed the system for a while. They should not be counted as an 'active' user and will be deleted.

Item 7: The new total of AFIS users includes Department of Human Services, Department of Education, and the Sheriff's Office. The new estimated total includes Department of Public Safety and Hawaii Council of Private Schools who are expected to begin using livescans for sex

offender registration and background checks in the coming year.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

Item 1: The decrease is due to the number of sex offenders who are incarcerated or have been confirmed to be registered in another jurisdiction. We are not requiring these registrants to verify their information quarterly; however, in the past, they were counted in this measure.

Item 2: The increase in public access is a reflection of the public's increasing desire to obtain criminal history record information quickly and from the privacy of their own homes/offices.

Item 3: The increase in CJIS-Hawaii inquiries is due to the increased awareness regarding the availability and benefits of criminal history record information for background checks.

Item 6: The increase in name-based applicant record checks is a reflection of the public's continuing need to obtain criminal history record checks for employment and other personal reasons.

Item 8: The estimated increase in FY09 of criminal AFIS workload is in anticipation of Maui implementing the electronic capture of fingerprints at the end of 2008.

Item 9: The AFIS reporting tool has been installed; however, the statistics from the AFIS database start from December 2007. Therefore, the number of latent/palmprint searches reported reflects those from December 2007 - June 2008. Next year, we will be able to report accurate numbers for the entire fiscal year.

Item 10: The staff has been aggressively taking control of the repeat offender records that meet the III criteria. This is in preparation for Hawaii participating in the National Fingerprint File.

VARIANCE REPORT

REPORT V61 12/13/08

PROGRAM TITLE:

SAFETY FROM PHYSICAL DISASTERS

PROGRAM-ID:

PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 0902

ON ETT TOWN THOOME DIOAGTE

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	007-08		THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-08	3	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-09)
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANG	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)		·										
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	204.50 96,133	173.50 73,080	- 31.00 - 23,053		220.50 3,701	182.50 3,486	- 38.00 - 215	17 6	220.50 96,863	220.50 96,959	+ 0.00 + 96	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	204.50 96,133	173.50 73,080	- 31.00 - 23,053	1	220.50 3,701	182.50 3,486	- 38.00 - 215	17 6	220.50 96,863	220.50 96,959	+ 0.00 + 96	0
					FIS	CAL YEAR	2007-08			FISCAL YEAR	2008-09	1
					PLANNED	ACTUAL	± CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	± CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. DEATHS/INJ/PROP DAM DUE TO FLOOD	S (INCIDENTS)				4	4	+ 0	l 0	4	4	+ 0	 0

Intermediate Level Program
No Narrative
(See Lowest Level Programs for Explanation of Variances)

PROGRAM TITLE:

PREVENTION OF NATURAL DISASTERS

PROGRAM-ID: PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090201

LNR-810

	FISC	AL YEAR 2	007-08			THREE	ONTHS E	NDED	09-30-08		NINE	MONTHS EN	DING	06-30-09	
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CH	IANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	. ±0	CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	±	CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)		:								· ·					
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	8.00 910	5.00 710	- -	3.00 200	38 22	9.00 216	7.00 167	-	2.00 49	22 23	9.00 704	9.00 800	++	0.00 96	0 14
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	8.00 910	5.00 710		3.00 200	38 22	9.00 216	7.00 167	-	2.00 49	22 23	9.00 704	9.00 800	+	0.00 96	0 14
						FISI	CAL YEAR	2007-	-08			FISCAL YEAR	200	3-09	
							<u> </u>								
						PLANNED	ACTUAL			%		ESTIMATED			%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. NO. LOSSES DUE TO DEATHS/INJ/DISAI	BILTIES/PROP D)AM						<u>+</u> C		%			<u>+</u> C 		% 0
	BILTIES/PROP D	OAM				PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> C +	HANGE		PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> C +	HANGE	0
NO. LOSSES DUE TO DEATHS/INJ/DISAI PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP	BILTIES/PROP D)AM				PLANNED 4	ACTUAL 4	<u>+</u> C +	HANGE 0	0	PLANNED 4	ESTIMATED 4	<u>+</u> C +	HANGE 0	0
NO. LOSSES DUE TO DEATHS/INJ/DISAI PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP DEFACTO POPULATION						PLANNED 4	ACTUAL 4	<u>+</u> C + +	HANGE 0	0	PLANNED 4	ESTIMATED 4	<u>+</u> C +	HANGE 0	0
1. NO. LOSSES DUE TO DEATHS/INJ/DISA PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. DEFACTO POPULATION PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NO. OF FLOOD CONTROL & PREVENTIC 2. NUMBER OF FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT	ON PLANS REVIE	EWED ED				PLANNED 4	ACTUAL 4 1.4	<u>+</u> C	HANGE 0 0.1	0	PLANNED 4	4 1.4	<u>+</u> C + +	0 0 0.1	8
1. NO. LOSSES DUE TO DEATHS/INJ/DISA PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. DEFACTO POPULATION PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NO. OF FLOOD CONTROL & PREVENTIC 2. NUMBER OF FLOOD CONTROL PROJEC 3. NUMBER OF COOPERATIVE AGREEME	ON PLANS REVIE TS IMPLEMENT NTS ENTERED I	EWED ED NTO				PLANNED 4	4 1.4 4 1 6	<u>+</u> C + + + + +	HANGE 0 0.1 0	0 8	PLANNED 4	4 1.4	<u>+</u> C + +	0 0.1 0	8
1. NO. LOSSES DUE TO DEATHS/INJ/DISAI PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. DEFACTO POPULATION PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NO. OF FLOOD CONTROL & PREVENTIC 2. NUMBER OF FLOOD CONTROL PROJEC 3. NUMBER OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMEI 4. NO. OF FLOODWATER CONTROL & CO	ON PLANS REVIE TS IMPLEMENT NTS ENTERED I ISRV LAWS REV	EWED ED NTO VIEWED				PLANNED 4	4 1.4 4 1 6 3	<u>+</u> C + + + + +	HANGE	0 8 0 0 500 (1.3 4 1 1 1 1 2	4 1.4	<u>+</u> C + + +	0 0.1 0 0	8
1. NO. LOSSES DUE TO DEATHS/INJ/DISAI PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. DEFACTO POPULATION PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NO. OF FLOOD CONTROL & PREVENTIC 2. NUMBER OF FLOOD CONTROL PROJEC 3. NUMBER OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMEI 4. NO. OF FLOODWATER CONTROL & CON 5. NO. FLOOD CNTRL RSEARCH/STUDIES	ON PLANS REVIE TS IMPLEMENT NTS ENTERED I ISRV LAWS REV	EWED ED NTO VIEWED				1.3 4 1 1 1 1 2 4	4 1.4 4 1 6 3 3	<u>+</u> C + + + + + + + + -	HANGE	0 8 0 0 500 50 25	1.3 4 1 1 1 2 4	4 1.4 4 1 1 2 4	<u>+</u> C + + + + +	0 0.1 0 0 0 0	8
1. NO. LOSSES DUE TO DEATHS/INJ/DISAI PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. DEFACTO POPULATION PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NO. OF FLOOD CONTROL & PREVENTIC 2. NUMBER OF FLOOD CONTROL PROJEC 3. NUMBER OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMEI 4. NO. OF FLOODWATER CONTROL & CON 5. NO. FLOOD CONTRL RSEARCH/STUDIES, 6. FLOOD MITIGATION (MAN-HOURS)	ON PLANS REVIENTS IMPLEMENT NTS ENTERED I ISRV LAWS REVIENTS P	EWED ED NTO VIEWED ERFRMD				9LANNED 4 1.3 4 1 1 2 4 100	4 1.4 4 1 6 3 3 100	<u>+</u> C + + + + + + + + +	HANGE	0 8 0 0 500 500 25 0	1.3 4 1.3 4 1 1 2 4 100	4 1.4 4 1 1 1 2 4 100	<u>+</u> C + + + + + +	0 0.1 0 0 0	8
1. NO. LOSSES DUE TO DEATHS/INJ/DISAI PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. DEFACTO POPULATION PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NO. OF FLOOD CONTROL & PREVENTIC 2. NUMBER OF FLOOD CONTROL PROJEC 3. NUMBER OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMEI 4. NO. OF FLOODWATER CONTROL & CON 5. NO. FLOOD CONTROL RSEARCH/STUDIES, 6. FLOOD MITIGATION (MAN-HOURS) 7. NO. OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE REND	ON PLANS REVIENTS IMPLEMENT NTS ENTERED I ISRV LAWS REV INVESTGTNS P	EWED ED NTO VIEWED ERFRMD				1.3 4 1 1 1 1 2 4	4 1.4 4 1 6 3 3	<u>+</u> C	HANGE	0 8 0 0 500 50 25 0	1.3 4 1 1 1 2 4	4 1.4 4 1 1 1 2 4 100 500	<u>+</u> C + + + + + +	0 0.1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8
1. NO. LOSSES DUE TO DEATHS/INJ/DISAI PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. DEFACTO POPULATION PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NO. OF FLOOD CONTROL & PREVENTIC 2. NUMBER OF FLOOD CONTROL PROJEC 3. NUMBER OF COOPERATIVE AGREEMEI 4. NO. OF FLOODWATER CONTROL & CON 5. NO. FLOOD CONTRL RSEARCH/STUDIES, 6. FLOOD MITIGATION (MAN-HOURS)	ON PLANS REVIENTS IMPLEMENT ITS ENTERED INTS ENTERED INVESTERNS PORTON PARED	EWED TED NTO VIEWED ERFRMD URS)				9LANNED 4 1.3 4 1 1 2 4 100	4 1.4 4 1 6 3 3 100	<u>+</u> C + + + + + + + + +	HANGE	0 8 0 0 500 500 25 0	1.3 4 1.3 4 1 1 2 4 100	4 1.4 4 1 1 1 2 4 100	<u>+</u> C + + + + + +	0 0.1 0 0 0 0	8 0 0 0 0 0 0

PROGRAM TITLE: PREVENTION OF NATURAL DISASTERS

09 02 01 LNR 810

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

Differences in budgeted amounts and actual expenditures primarily to delays in establishing newly authorized positions and due delays in filling staff vacancies.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

Storm frequency as anticipated.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

Reflects actual population growth.

- Item 3 Reflects actual number of cost sharing agreements implemented with various federal or county agencies.
- Item 4 Reflects additional county request for law review, in addition to normally scheduled review.
- Item 5 Reduced due to staff limitations and focus on other priority tasks.
- Item 8 Reduced due to staff limitations and focus on other priority tasks.
- Item 9 Increased due to storms of February-April 2006.
- Item 10 Increased due to storms of February-April 2006 and by availability of emergency response funds by Act 118, SLH 2006.

STATE OF HAWAII

PROGRAM TITLE:

AMELIORATION OF PHYSICAL DISASTERS

PROGRAM-ID:

DEF-110 PROGRAM STRUCTURE NO: 090202

	FISCAL YEAR 2007-08 BUDGETED ACTUAL ± CHANGE %				THREE	MONTHS EN	NDED 09-30-0	3	NINE	MONTHS EN	DING 06-30-0	9
	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ACTUAL	+ CHANGE	%	BUDGETED	ESTIMATED	+ CHANGE	%
PART I: EXPENDITURES & POSITIONS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1,000's)											_	
OPERATING COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	196.50 95,223	168.50 72,370	- 28.00 - 22,853	14 24	211.50 3,485	175.50 3,319	- 36.00 - 166	17 5	211.50 96,159	211.50 96,159	+ 0.00 + 0	0
TOTAL COSTS POSITIONS EXPENDITURES (\$1000's)	196.50 95,223	168.50 72,370	- 28.00 - 22,853	14 24	211.50 3,485	175.50 3,319	- 36.00 - 166	17 5	211.50 96,159	211.50 96,159	+ 0.00 + 0	0
						CAL YEAR				FISCAL YEAR		
DADT II. MEAGURES OF FEFOTO (FMESS					PLANNED	ACTUAL	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%	PLANNED	ESTIMATED	<u>+</u> CHANGE	%
PART II: MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS 1. % OF CIVIL DEFENSE DISASTER PLAN F 2. % OF CIVIL DEFENSE ORGANIZATN & TI 3. PERCENT OF CD EMERGENCY SUPPOF 4. PERCENT OF HARNG PERSONNEL REA 5. PERCENT OF HARNG TRAINING READIN 6. PERCENT OF HARNG LOGISTICS READIN 7. PERCENT OF HANG PERSONNEL READ 8. PERCENT OF HANG TRAINING READINE 9. PERCENT OF HANG LOGISTICS READIN PART III: PROGRAM TARGET GROUP 1. RESIDENT POPULATION OF THE STATE	RAINING READI IT SYSTEMS REDINESS IESS NESS NESS SS ESS (THOUSANDS)	EADINESS			75 75 75 85 64 89 98 90	74 83 64 88 96 89 94	- 1 - 2 - 1 - 1	1 0	75 75 75 85 64 89 98 90 95	75 85 64 89 98 90 95		0
2. AV DAILY VISITOR POPULATN IN THE S	ATE (THOUSA	NDS)			185	165	- 20	11	185	170	- 15] 8
PART IV: PROGRAM ACTIVITY 1. NUMBER OF FUNCTIONAL MILITARY UN 2. AMOUNT OF DIRECT FED FUND SPPT F. 3. NUMBER OF ARMORIES & SUPPORT FA 4. INVENTORY COST OF NAT GUARD EQU 5. COST OF MILITARY SPPT TO CIVIL AUTH 6. ASSIGNED MILITARY STRENGTH (NUMBER) 7. NUMBER OF CIVIL DEFENSE PLANS UPI 8. NUMBER OF PERSONS COMPLETING FO 9. NO. OF EMERGENCY SHELTER SPACES	OR MIL DEF (00 CILITIES MAINT IP MAINTAIND(I HORITIES (000'S ER) DATED DRMAL CD TRA	TAINED 000'S) S)			57 184000 91 4360000 327 5450 172 30 348		- 220 - 2 + 3	3 1 4 1	57 184000 91 4360000 327 5450 NO DATA 30 348	184000 89 4500000 325 5230 NO DATA 30	+ 0 + 0 - 2 + 140000 - 2 - 220 + 0 + 0 + 7	0

PROGRAM TITLE: AMELIORATION OF PHYSICAL DISASTERS

09 02 02 DEF 110

PART I - EXPENDITURES AND POSITIONS

Positions: In FY 2008, there are 28 vacancies, of which 13 are pending reclassification action before being able to fill and the remaining 15 are in various stages of recruitment. For FY 2009, vacancies reported was 36 with 11 pending reclassification and the remaining 25 are in various stages of recruitment. We are estimating that the numbers will be reduced to no vacancies after the recruitment actions are completed.

Expenditures: In FY 2008, the under expenditure is a result of not being able to execute procurement agreements within 103D HRS for Homeland Security Federal funds. Without this agreements in place, the county and state agencies required more time to complete their purchase requirements. Federal grant period to expend the funds have been extended in order for the agencies to secure the proper procurement approvals.

PART II - MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

No significant variances.

PART III - PROGRAM TARGET GROUPS

2. The reduction of daily visitor population in the State was due to the economic down turn. In the first quarter, there were increases of no more than 1%; however, during the next three months there were double digits reductions in the visitor counts which continues into the new fiscal year.

PART IV - PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

8. Additional staff were available to attend training this year.